## MATH3210 - SPRING 2024 - SECTION 001

HOMEWORK 1 - SOLUTIONS

**Problem 1.** In the following, A is a set of real numbers. Negate the following statements:

- (1) There exists  $x \in A$  such that x < 0.
- (2) Every  $x \in A$  is an integer.
- (3) For every  $x \in A$ , there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that yx = 1.
- (4) For every  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x \leq y$ , then there exists  $z \in A$  such that x < z < y.

Solution.

- (1) For every  $x \in A$ ,  $x \ge 0$ .
- (2) There exists some  $x \in A$  such that x is not an integer.
- (3) There exists some  $x \in A$  such that for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $yx \neq 1$ .
- (4) There exist  $x, y \in A$  such that  $x \leq y$ , but for every  $z \in A$ , either  $z \leq x$  or  $y \leq z$ .

**Problem 2.** Recall that a set  $R \subset \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Dedekind cut* if it satisfies all 3 of the following properties: (a)  $R \neq \emptyset$ .

- (b) R is bounded above.
- (c) For every  $x \in R$  and  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ , if y < x, then  $y \in R$ .
- (d) For every  $x \in R$ , there exists  $y \in R$  such that y > x.

Show that if R and S are Dedekind cuts, then  $R + S = \{x + y : x \in R \text{ and } y \in S\}$  is also a Dedekind cut (ie, show properties (a)-(d) for the set R + S, assuming (a)-(d) for the sets R and S themselves).

Solution. Assume R and S are Dedekind cuts. To see that R + S is a Dedekind cut, we need to show that it satisfies properties (a)-(d) for R + S.

- (a) We need to show  $R + S \neq \emptyset$ . Since R and S are Dedekind cuts, they also satisfy (a). Hence there exists  $x \in R$  and  $y \in S$ . By definition, we conclude that  $x + y \in R + S$ .
- (b) We need to show that there exists a number M such that for every  $z \in R + S$ ,  $z \leq M$ . Since R and S are Dedekind cuts, they have upper bounds. Let  $L_1$  denote an upper bound of R and  $L_2$  denote an upper bound of S. Define  $M = L_1 + L_2$ . Then if  $z \in R + S$ , there exists  $x \in R$  and  $y \in S$  such that z = x + y. It follows that for any such z,

$$z = x + y \le L_1 + L_2 = M.$$

So M is an upper bound of R + S.

(c) We need to show property (c) for R + S. We will rename the variables for convenience. That is, we will show that if  $z \in R + S$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfies w < z, then  $w \in R + S$ .

Let  $z \in R + S$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfy w < z. By definition, z = x + y for some  $x \in R$  and  $y \in S$ . Then w - y < z - y = x. By property (c) for R, it follows that  $w - y \in R$ . But then since  $y \in S$ , we conclude that  $w = (w - y) + y \in R + S$ . This concludes the proof.

- (d) We need to show property (d) for R + S. We will again rename variables for convenience. That is, we will show that for every  $z \in R + S$ , there exists  $w \in R + S$  such that w > z.
  - Let  $z \in R + S$ , so that z = x + y for some  $x \in R$  and  $y \in S$ . Since R and S satisfy property (d), we can find elements  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S$  such that a > x and b > y. Therefore, if w = a + b,  $w \in R + S$ , and w = a + b > x + y = z.

**Problem 3.** Prove that if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y, then there exists some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that x < q < y. You may use the following fact: if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and b - a > 1, then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a < m < b.

Solution. Suppose that  $x, y \in R$  satisfy x < y. Then y - x > 0. By the Archimedian property, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < y - x$ , and hence

$$1 < n(y - x) = ny - nx.$$

By the fact provided, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that nx < m < ny. Dividing the chain of inequalities by n yields

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

Since  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, q = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . and we have shown the claim.

**Problem 4.** Let  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  be bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_n = \sup S_n$ . Show that if  $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$  is

also bounded above, then

$$\sup S = \sup \left\{ x_n : x \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Solution. Let  $y = \sup S$ . We will show that  $y = \sup \{x_n : x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . To do so, we must show that y is an upper bound of the set  $\{x_m : x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and that if z < y, then there exists an element of the set greater than z. First, we show it is an upper bound. Since  $y = \sup S$ , y is an upper bound of S. Fix an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $w \in S_n$ . Since  $S_n \subset S$ ,  $w \in S$ . Since y is an upper bound of S,  $w \leq y$ . Hence y is an upper bound of  $S_n$  for every n. Since  $x_n$  is the *least* upper bound of  $S_n$ ,  $x_n \leq y$ . Therefore we have shown that for every n,  $x_n \leq y$ , and y is an upper bound of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

We now show that if w < y. w is not an upper bound of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $y = \sup S$ , and w < y, w is not an upper bound of S. Then there exists some  $z \in S$  such that z > w. Since S is an infinite union, there must exist some n such that  $z \in S_n$ . Therefore, w is not an upper bound of  $S_n$ . Since  $x_n$  is an upper bound of  $S_n$ ,  $w < x_n$ . This implies that w cannot be an upper bound of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .